

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,803	JOSEPH, JOSHY	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDY HO	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.

(2) Steven R. Bartholomew, Attorney Reg. No. 34,771. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 112 issues in claims 1, 3 and 18. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.